

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,326	09/10/2003	Andreas Steinmeyer	SCH-1585D3	1878	
23599	7590' 08/14/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			QAZI, SABIHA NAIM		
SUITE 1400	NDON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON	I, VA 22201	22201			
		;	DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/658,326	STEINMEYER ET A	L.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sabiha Qazi	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 27 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI		•			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	ichever is later. In on.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 					
appeal; and/or		. , ,	ile issues ioi		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	24 0 - 4 - 1 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the 					
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.		Apianation of		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>11-26,33 and 34</u> . Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>27-32 and 35-42</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	ntice of Anneal will no	t he entered		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	ls to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			ce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s).	5		
	P	SABIHA QAZI, PH.I RIMARY EXAMINE	B ER		
	•				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims as amended do not overcome 112 (1) rejection. Arguments were considered but not found persuasive because there is no reason why applicants consider these amendments render the claims allowable. Rejection is maintain for the same reasons as set forth in oue previous office action. Examiner note, that claims are very broad. Vltamin D compounds as in claim 11 include hundreds of thousands vitamin D compounds. It also include normal vitamin D and 19-nor vitamin D compounds with all the substitutions such as Z, R5, R6, Q, R3, R4, R1 and R2. The data base searches were done as recorded however it is difficult to search so many substituents and variants as broad as claimed in claim 11 and to find aprior art. Similarly it not possible to predict the method for treatment of the diseases as claimeed by such a large number of compounds. Examiner also notes that claim 34 is independent and contain specific compounds. If applicants claim the compounds covered by formula I in claim 11 they may consider making it dependent on claim 1. It is burden on the Examiner to check every single compound and find out that these are covered by the formula 1. Compound claims were allowed on the basis of database search.